# Pupil premium strategy statement (2023/2024)

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2023 to 2024 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

## **School overview**

Detail	Data	
School name	Nightingale Primary School	
Number of pupils in school	393	
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils 40.7%		
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	<b>2023-2024</b> 2024-2025 2025-2026	
Date this statement was published	October 2023	
Date on which it will be reviewed	September 2024	
Statement authorised by	Hannah Beckett	
Pupil premium lead	Claire Gosling	
Governor / Trustee lead	Bob West	

# **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£244,060
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£22,001
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	Financial year:
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	2023-24→ £266,061

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

# **Statement of intent**

Nightingale is a safe and nurturing school community where the whole child is at the heart of all that we do. As respectful and respected individuals, all those at Nightingale, will promote and set high expectations around the development of life-long learning skills such as resilience, self-belief and adaptability.

Throughout their Nightingale journey, each child, regardless of starting point, will be given the tools to succeed and the inspiration to meet every challenge head on. Our pupils will be valued, confident, recognise their own value and will be empowered to make a positive impact upon the world in which they reside.

Nightingale has 40.7% Pupil Premium of which 26.4% have a Special Educational Need. We aim for these pupils to have access to a wide range of interventions in addition to quality first teaching. At the end of last academic year, we saw a 1.6% difference in the attendance of our Pupil Premium children (93.7%) compared to their peers (95.3%). We will concentrate the efforts of our Inclusion team to address this gap enabling them to experience the full learning experience at Nightingale.

We will focus on raising the attainment of disadvantaged pupils with the aspiration that we improve their life choices and chances. This intervention will take place at the earliest possible stage in their school career. We will place emphasis not only on their academics but their Social and emotional mental health alongside enrichment opportunities.

Our ultimate objectives are:

Priority 1: To address school culture and expectations of Pupil Premium children.

Priority 2: To reduce the gap between attainment in PP and non-PP children in writing in across the school.

Priority 3: To secure a clear understanding of metacognition and self-regulation strategies within the classroom with the aim of increasing independence.

Priority 4: To provide opportunities where school and families can work together to support children's learning

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Developing a cohesive Whole School Leadership approach surrounding narrowing the gap with the aim to raise awareness of all leaders and staff of Pupil Premium students and their individual needs to ensure that all children meet their full potential.
2	Low level literacy skills on entry, including limited vocabulary acquisition, impacts on their understanding, knowledge of the world and subsequently their reading and writing.
3	Outcomes and progress of the children in receipt of Pupil Premium funding is significantly affected by parental engagement in their child's education.
4	Social and emotional development and attachment needs impact on their social skills, the forming of positive relationships and their readiness to learn.
5	Over a quarter of our Pupil Premium children have a Special Educational Need placing them at a significant disadvantage.
6	The attendance of our Pupil Premium children as a group is lower than that of the whole school.
7	Limited knowledge of the world (general knowledge) and associated vocabulary
8	Gaps in early mathematical knowledge and fluency of number facts

## **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria	
Priority 1: To address school culture and expectations of Pupil Premium children.	<ul> <li>All staff foster high attainment expectations for Pupil Premium children and feel equipped with the skills to ensure that all children meet their full potential.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Leaders and teachers use data to identify learning needs addressing any underperformance swiftly. Every decision around meeting learning needs is driven by robust analysis of core subject domain data.</li> </ul>	

	<ul> <li>The school is an outward looking school on a journey to self – improvement of CPD where leaders actively seek opportunities to champion best practice and engage in collaborative working.</li> <li>The School Improvement Priorities and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The School Improvement Priorities and Performance Management targets are both intrinsically linked to aspirational outcomes for all.</li> </ul>
	• Governors work strategically with school leaders to address the attainment gap. Leaders welcome outside challenge and support to ensure that the school does everything it can to address the disadvantage gap and ensure equity for all.
Priority 2: To reduce the gap between attainment in	<ul> <li>Pupil Premium children to achieve at least in line with Pupil Premium children nationally.</li> </ul>
PP and non-PP children in writing in across the school.	<ul> <li>The gap between Pupil Premium and non-Pupil Premium in school is reducing compared to national</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>A tailored programme of support ensures that staff make effective use of routine opportunities of rehearsal of key skills and identified domain gaps.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Greater consistency will be seen in the application of key skills.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Teachers subject knowledge and pedagogy in reading is embedded through a targeted CPD programme of regular professional dialogue and reflection.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>CPD will focus on embedding the create, refine and evaluate element to pupil's learning journeys in Writing – See SIP</li> </ul>
Priority 3: To secure a clear understanding of metacognition and self-	<ul> <li>Staff's increasing understanding of metacognition will mean that children understand their own learning journeys.</li> </ul>
regulation strategies within the classroom with the aim of increasing independence.	<ul> <li>Trained practitioners deliver therapeutic interventions to improve motivation and resilience for learning.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>De-escalation techniques will be evident in classroom practice.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Children with the most complex needs have planned opportunities in and out of class which supports their metacognition and self-regulation. Regular assessments of these children, including THRIVE and the Six strands Curriculum set next steps in their emotional and social development. This is mirrored in the classroom and The Nest provision.</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>Training and communication ensures that everybody has an understanding of the reasonable adjustments that need to be made to ensure that children are successful in school.</li> <li>Places in Breakfast club will be offered to children who require additional support with self- regulation strategies when transitioning from home to school.</li> </ul>
Priority 4: To provide opportunities where school and families can work together to support children's learning	<ul> <li>The Inclusion Team support families, through systems and processes, to be better informed resulting in them being better equipped to support their children's learning.</li> <li>There will be more opportunities for parents to engage with their children's learning with wider</li> </ul>
	<ul><li>aspects of the curriculum other than maths and literacy.</li><li>Increased engagement of PP parents at school</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>events.</li> <li>Increased engagement of PP parents in parent's evening, including the continuation out of Year 6 Parent Consultations delivered by the children themselves.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Attendance of PP student to be in line with national average</li> </ul>

# Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

## Teaching

Budgeted cost: £21,089

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Leadership Build capacity within the leadership team to enable a focussed ap- proach to developing a cohesive a whole school approach sur- rounding narrowing the gap with the aim to	Successful schools 'have clear, respon- sive leadership.' <i>DFE</i> 'Supporting the At- tainment of disadvantaged pupils: articu- lating success and good practice'	Challenge 1 Priority 1, 2

-		,
raise awareness of all leaders and staff	EEF Implementation Guide states that 'school leaders play a central role in im- proving education practices through high-	
Create the post of Pu- pil Premium Leader to oversee the PP strat- egy and to implement regular meetings of the PP Strategy Group.	quality implementation' by 'defining both a vision for, and standards of, desirable implementation'.	
Our SIP and all subject leader action plans will have Pupil Premium considered throughout.		
New English and Maths leaders in place with co-leaders to ensure coverage of key stages.		
SIMs Discover Training for SLT to enable closer monitoring of vulnerable groups		
Monitoring schedule in place focusing on the PP children.		
Senior staff completing NPQs (NPQTLT, NPQSL, NPQH)		
Y6 teacher completing "Supporting Year 6 to improved outcomes at the EoKS2"		
Recruitment/Reten-	Outdoor adventure learning   EEF	
tion Training and recruitment of Forest School teacher	(educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) "Outdoor Adventure Learning might provide opportunities for disadvantaged pupils to participate in activities that they otherwise might not be able to access. Through participation in these challenging physical and emotional activities, outdoor adventure learning	Challenge 3,4,7 Priority 3,4

	interventions can support pupils to develop non-cognitive skills such as resilience, self-confidence and motivation. The application of these non-cognitive skills in the classroom may in turn have a positive effect on academic outcomes"	
CPD		
Jonathan Hannam whole staff training on metacognition and Rosenshine's Principle of Instruction. Jonathan Hannam Leadership training of SLT and of Phase Leads in raising stand- ards	"Self-regulated learners are aware of their strengths and weaknesses, and can motivate themselves to engage in, and improve, their learning. Developing pu- pils' metacognitive knowledge of how they learn – their knowledge of them- selves as a learner, of strategies, and of tasks – is an effective ay of improving pu- pil outcomes". 7+ months <u>Metacognition</u> and Self-regulated Learning   EEF (edu- cationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	Challenge 4 Priority 2
	outorional official o	
Pedagogical Lead to lead development of the 6 areas of pupil leadership with our Fu-	EEF guide to pupil premium – tiered ap- proach – teaching is the top priority, in- cluding CPD.	
ture Leaders	Dhamian I Taalliit Otaan di I Taluantian Ta	Challenge 1,2,3
SENDCo to deliver	Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education En- dowment Foundation   EEF	Priority 2
weekly training ses-		
sions to Learning Coaches based on	Sutton Trust – quality first teaching has direct impact on student outcomes.	
MITA guidance with the ultimate aim of pro- moting independence in confident learners.	Training and supporting highly qualified teachers deliver targeted support.	Challenge 2,3 Priority 2
in connuent learners.	The EEF reports that 'a fluent reading	Challenge 2,3
Jo Castro to deliver metacognition training to Learning Coaches.	style supports comprehension because pupils' limited cognitive resources are freed from focusing on word recognition and can be redirected towards compre-	Priority 2
Team Teach training to be delivered to assist in self regulation skills	hending the text.' Understanding leads to fluency which leads to further understanding.	
CPD, including HIAS support, is ongoing for all staff in Maths and English by leaders.	New EEF Guidance Report - Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	Challenge 2,3 Priority 2
CPD to improve phon- ics teaching and read- ing. This includes buy- ing RWI resources and the subscription to the		

RWI online training. Whole school RWI training and develop- ment day to improve standards.	Challenge 3,5 Priority 1,2,3
English lead to take part in 'Supporting the Lowest 20%' training and implement find- ings.	
Ensure all staff (including new staff) have received training to deliver the RWI. Out of class Pedagogical Lead for weekly phonics coaching.	
2 additional members of staff to undertake the ELSA training.	

# Targeted academic support

Budgeted cost: £132,218

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
<u>Tracking the data in</u> order to intervene early		
Data team will ensure robust tracking systems are in place so that do- main level analysis can be provided to year teams ahead of Pupil Progress meetings. Ac- tion plans then put to- gether for the most vul- nerable pupils.	The EEF's guide "Putting Evidence to Work, A Guide for Implementation" (Sharples, Albers, & Fraser, 2018) – highlights the importance of building teams such as "data teams" to improve leadership capacity and deliver school improvement Wider educational literature e.g. Lemov (2010) & Sherrington, (2019)	Challenge 1 Priority 1,2,

Tracking of combined score/GLD for PP chil- dren Year teams will carry out regular assess- ments to identify under- performing students and to signpost interven- tions. Teachers and subject leaders will be released to join SLT in conduct- ing regular Pupil Pro- gress meetings track progress of PP pupils and appropriate, timely interventions are put in place. Pupil Progress meeting will include all teachers and Learning Coaches to support consistency of knowledge.	highlights importance of effective assessment improving student outcomes. This is further supported by the EEF Tool Kit (2021) which claims effective assessment has a significant improvement in learning i.e+7 months impact Time for ongoing professional dialogues regarding further support for these children will help to keep this a priority. There is a collected responsibility for PP children's progress in order to make accelerated progress. Staff will know who they are, their barriers to learning and any strategies needed to meet their educational and emotional needs.	
TutoringImplementation of IDL reading and maths inter- vention after school.Delivery of the Fresh Start Fast Track Tutor- ing resources to support with our lower attaining readers in years 5 & 6.Maths tutoring after school for selected KS2 PP children.Two members of staff are to be trained using ELKLAN and then de- liver speech and lan- guage interventions fo- cusing on the develop- ment of vocabulary.	EEF Toolkit (Education Endowment Foundation, 2021) suggests that small group tuition has +4 months benefit and 1:1 tuition can have a benefit of +5 month Small group tuition [Toolkit Strand] Education Endowment Foundation] EEF	Challenge 2, 5 Priority 2

		1
Quality First Teach- ing/Quality Interven- tionsAdditional phonics ses- sions targeted at disad- vantaged pupils who re- quire further phonics support.Implementation of IDL reading and maths inter- vention.	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks: <u>Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education</u> <u>Endowment Foundation   EEF</u>	Challenge 2, 5 Priority 2
DHT and Pedagogical Lead to join core les- sons in Y6 to target spe- cific children. Establish small group	IDL Learning has undertaken inde- pendent research studies to determine the efficacy of its online reading and spelling programs. Behind the Scenes: The Story of IDL	Challenge 2, 5 Priority 2
interventions for disadvantaged pupils falling behind age- related expectations in Reading, Writing and Maths. This will include Pre-teaching same day/ specific skill based interventions as well as over learning.	(idlsgroup.com)	Challenge 2, 5 Priority 2
Annual purchase of dyslexia workbooks and overlays.		
Full time Speech and Language Assistant to support the development of language acquisition and our children in need of SALT intervention.	EEF Toolkit – Oral language interven- tions- +6 months impact <u>Oral language</u> interventions   EEF (educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk)	Challenge 2, 5 Priority 2
Language Link subscription for assessment, intervention and parent support.		

# Wider strategies

Budgeted cost: £151,717.51

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Parental engagement in learning		
Target parental engagement resulting in them being better equipped to support their children's learning.	The average impact of the Parental engagement approaches is about an additional four months' progress over the course of a year. There are also higher impacts for pupils with low prior attainment. <u>Parental engagement   EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	Challenge 3,5 Priority 1,4
Inclusion team building solid relationships with families.		
Raise the profile of attending parents' evenings with our PP parents. Teachers and leaders will take a collected responsibility for encouraging participation. Early opening of bookings, emails, phone calls to book appointments and the offerings of face-to-face on phone consultations will all be offered.		
Delivery of Year 6 Parent Consultations delivered by the children themselves.		
Continued use of Class Dojo/ Tapestry platforms to provide positive feedback and to provide insights into the children's		

learning and achievements in school.		
Subject leads will run parent workshops targeted at parents of PP children that are not at ARE which will support parents in supporting at home within core subject areas.		
Reading café/ reading volunteers for parents to be able to read with their parent.		
Termly Inclusion Coffee Morning for parents of vulnerable children to meet with different professional and learn different strategies to be used at home.		
Family links Nurturing Parenting Programme delivered weekly for ten sessions to support parents to develop better family relationships.		
Attendance		
We will concentrate the efforts of our Inclusion team to address the current gap in PP attendance	At the end of last academic year, we saw a 1.6% difference in the attendance of our Pupil Premium children (93.7%) compared to their peers (95.3%).	Challenge 6 Priority 4
enabling them to experience the full learning experience at Nightingale.	Attendance of FSM students nationally (2022/2023) is 88.6%	
Welfare Leader works closely with attendance officer,	Pupils with the highest attendance make the most progress due to increased opportunities for overlearning and access to a personalised curriculum.	

pupils below 96% are identified and protocols followed. Welfare Leader will pick up child from	A dedicated person who monitors attend- ance and who has a good relationship with parents is most effective at ensuring good pupil attendance.	
home if required.		
promoted and celebrated weekly with certificates and prizes given.		
If behaviour, mental health or parental concerns are identified as a barrier to attendance then referrals for PP children are prioritised.		
If punctuality or separation anxiety is a barrier then Breakfast club is offered free of charge.		
Ready to Learn		
Skilling up staff to support our children who struggle to access their learning due to social and emotional barriers. Use of THRIVE and Mental Health Curriculum.	EEF – Behaviour interventions – approaches to develop a positive school ethos and discipline which also aims to support greater engagement in learning. +4 months Impact	Challenge 4 Priority 3
Whole staff training on de-escalation by Primary Behaviour Services which will then be evident in classroom practice.	The EEF confirm that behaviour systems are unlikely to meet the needs of all stu- dents and that, for children with more challenging behaviour, the approach should be tailored to meet individual needs Improving Behaviour in Schools I EEF (educationendowmentfounda-	
Team Teach training to be delivered to assist in self	tion.org.uk)	

regulation and de- escalation skills		
Training/subscription for THRIVE. Assessments and activities used to support vulnerable pupils.		
Mental Health Lead training undertaken by Welfare Lead.		
Delivery of The Zones of Regulation and delivery by the ELSA		
Sensory Circuits delivered twice a day for emotional and sensory regulation.		
<u>Therapeutic Inter-</u> ventions		
Improve the quality of social and emotional (SEL) learning.	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and	Challenge 4 Priority 4
ELSA sessions	in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers)	
Drawing and Talking training and delivery of sessions	Social and emotional learning   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
Thrive	Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects:	
Lego Therapy	Behaviour interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	
Enrichment		
Lunchtime provision to be developed to ensure that we are maximising the learning opportunities		Challenge 2 Priority 4
- ••		

on offer and to impact on the transition from free time back into the classroom ensuring	Learning is contextualised in concrete experiences and language rich environments.	
the climate for learning is optimum.	Ofsted research (2019) places emphasis on improving cultural capital, particularly for disadvantaged pupils.	
Life Skills Curriculum developed and implemented	Enrichment activities offer children a	
Reduction in cost of trips for PP	context for leaning and a stimulus to trigger their interest which can be evidenced in pupil books and data.	
Residential trip cost is greatly reduced for PP	EEF – sports participation increases educational engagement and attainment.	
Sports events promoted to PP are encouraged to attend		
Children carefully considered when picking those for the Sports premium strands.	EEF – outdoor adventure learning shows positive benefits on academic learning and self -confidence.	
Training and recruitment of Forest School teacher and sessions delivered		
After school clubs subsidised and we give funded places.		
Basic needs		
Proactive approach in maintaining school		
uniform policy whilst supporting those		
parents who need it.		

# Total budgeted cost: £305,024

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

### **Quality First Teaching outcomes:**

Year	Reading	Writing	Maths	Combined
EYFS	EXS (GDS)			
1	64% (24%) PP gap: -46%	<b>48%</b> PP gap:-50%	61% PP gap: -10%	<b>45%</b> PP gap: -46%
	Gender Gap: 8% B	Gender Gap: 3% B	Gender Gap: 16% B	Gender Gap: 12% B
2	58% PP gap: -29%	<b>58%</b> PP gap: -37%	<mark>62% P</mark> PP gap: -39%	53% PP gap: -31%
	Gender Gap: 28% B	Gender Gap: 13% B	Gender Gap: 21% B	Gender Gap: 29% B
3	62% PP gap: -1%	<b>49%</b> PP gap: -6%	62% PP gap:-16%	<b>47%</b> PP gap: -10%
	Gender Gap: 16% B	Gender Gap: 6% G	Gender Gap: 1% B	Gender Gap: 2% G
4	<mark>69% P</mark> P gap: -13%	53% PP gap: -26%	<mark>66% P</mark> PP gap: -14%	47% PP gap: -21%
	Gender Gap: 7% G	Gender Gap: 19% G	Gender Gap: 6% G	Gender Gap: 16% G
5	<b>70%</b> PP gap: -13%	60% PP gap: -23%	68% PP gap: -35%	52% PP gap: -34%
	Gender Gap: 21% G	Gender Gap: 38% G	Gender Gap: 17% G	Gender Gap: 30% G
6	67% PP gap: -8%	<b>70%</b> PP gap: -12%	67% PP gap: - 10%	48% PP gap: -17%
	Gender Gap: 11% G	Gender Gap: 11% G	Gender Gap: 4% B	Gender Gap: 11% G

•In Reading the gap between PP and Non PP has closed by 4.5% across the school with Year 3 closing gap completely.

•In Writing Year 3 is the strongest year by closing the gap by 32%. The weakest year group is in Year 1. However, during the Year 1 phonics screening there was a 10% increase this year of pupils achieving the pass mark of 32. Additionally in Y1 Phonics the gap between NPS PP chn and National PP chn was -11% (it was -15% the year before) – a 4% closing of the gap.

•In Maths Year 3 and Year 4 have closed the gap between PP and Non PP the most.

KS1 Disadvantaged 2023					
	National Hampshire NPS				
Reading	54%	53%	33%		
Writing	44%	43%	28%		
Maths	56%	53%	44%		
Combined	40%	39%	24%		

KS2 Disadvantaged 2023					
	National	Hampshire	NPS		
Reading	60%	57%	72%		
Writing	58%	54%	64%		
Maths	59%	54%	64%		
Combined	44%	40%	44%		

•End of KS2 PP data we are above national and Hampshire average in Reading and Maths.

- End of KS1 PP data we are above national and Hampshire average in Reading, Writing and Maths.
- As a result, we have decided to merge Priority 2 and 3 from the strategy from the previous 2 years and have a whole school focus on narrowing the gap in Writing.

### Extra intervention outcomes:

Maths tutoring: 48 hours of maths tuition was delivered to 31 selected KS2 pupils via 2 HLTAs. The maths tutoring has helped to close the gap for maths at the end of KS2 in maths. In Year 5 12% of PP were on track in Maths. Following the tuition program 33% of PP children in Year 6 made the expected standard.

### Core skills interventions:

Progress measures from EduKey show:

- Progress score (0 being expected) for interventions between Sept 2022 and July 2023 are: Reading: +0.36 progress measure Writing: +0.46 progress measure Maths: +0.23 progress measure
- Year 1 phonic interventions: screening showed there was a 10% increase this year of pupils achieving the pass mark of 32

### Wider school outcomes:

#### Parent engagement:

PP parents attending parent meetings and workshops was 75% (85% of Non-PP parents attended) in July 2023 this was compared to 66% in July 2021. This was a 9% increase.

Year Group	2022/2023		Difference	2021/2022)		Difference				
Iteal Gloup	F	ъР	Non-PP		Dillerence	PP		Non-PP		Dillerence
Year R	19	92.4%	27	94%	-1.6%	No data	No data	55	92.5%	0
Year 1	23	91.9%	36	94.5%	-2.6%	19	94.5%	34	95.5%	-1%
Year 2	27	94.2%	30	94.7%	-0.5%	22	94%	36	95.5%	-1.5%
Year 3	29	94.3%	28	95.9%	-1.6%	25	92.5%	38	95.4%	-2.9%
Year 4	28	93.9%	37	96.1%	-2.2%	19	92.9%	45	95.5%	-2.6%
Year 5	19	92.9%	44	95.6%	-2.7%	20	92.2%	40	94%	-1.8%
Year 6	27	95.1%	35	95.6%	-0.5%	37	92.4%	51	95.1%	-2.7%
Whole School	172	93.7%	237	95.3%	-1.6%	142	93%	299	94.7%	-1.7%
Dif. to last year	+0	.7%	+0	.6%	-0.1%					
	F	SM	Non	-FSM	Difference	FS	SM	Nor	-FSM	Difference
Whole School	171	93.7%	238	95.3%	-1.6%	183	92.9%	258	95%	-2.1%
Dif. to last year	+0	.8%	+0	.3%	-0.5%					
Whole school		04	<b>c</b> 0/		+0.5%		94.	4.0/		
attendance	94.6%		TU.5 %		94.	1 70				
Red band	Less than 90% = 49 pupils Less than 85% = 18 pupils		-19	Less than 90% = 68 pupils		pils				
attendance			-8	Less than 85% = 26 pupils			pils			
allenuance	Less than 80% = 6 pupils		7	Less than 80% = 7 pupils						

### Attendance:

- In 4 out of 7 year groups, the gap in PP and non PP attendance has decreased
- Overall, the gap has narrowed by 0.1% to an overall difference of -1.6%

- The overall school attendance increased in the same period by 0.5%, whilst the PP attendance increased by 0.7%
- Between 10.6.23 and the end of the term, there was a drop of 1% in the PP attendance in Year 1, as opposed to a drop of 0.1% for non-pupil premium, - this was due to an outbreak of chicken pox. This took the gap from -1.7% to -2.6% in a period of just 6 weeks

## **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider

## Service pupil premium funding

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	N/A
What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils?	N/A